

# USDOL Releases Proposed Exemption Changes (Overtime Rule 2.0)

Insights 3.22.19

We previously summarized the USDOL's proposed white-collar exemption changes a/k/a Overtime Rule 2.0, including a minimum salary threshold of \$679 per week. The proposed rule now has been published in the <u>Federal Register</u>. The public comment period will close on <u>May 21, 2019</u>.

# **USDOL** Releases Proposed Exemption Changes (Overtime Rule 2.0) March 7, 2019 by <u>Caroline Brown</u>

The USDOL's long-awaited proposed white-collar exemption changes a/k/a Overtime Rule 2.0 has been made <u>available</u>. Once it is published in the Federal Register, the public will have 60 days to submit comments regarding, among other things, the proposed minimum salary threshold of **\$679 per week**.

## Proposed Rule In A Nutshell

Our Legal Alert will refresh your memory as to how we got here, but this is what you need to know now.

- The proposed minimum salary threshold is \$679 per week (which annualizes to \$35,308 per year).
- The proposed rule provides for <u>one</u> threshold regardless of which exemption, industry, or locality, subject to a few exceptions that already exist.
- The additional total annual compensation requirement for the highly-compensated employee exemption has a proposed entry level of \$147,414 per year.
- No changes were proposed to the duties tests for the exemptions.
- No "automatic" updates were proposed.
- The unnecessary 90/10 approach with respect to certain non-discretionary pay has been teed up again.

#### **Second Time Around**

You haven't been looking forward to this day, but you've learned a thing or two.

<u>Context</u>: Remember, don't be led astray. Even the USDOL's <u>summary</u> might lead some to think this change relates to all exemptions instead of just the white-collar exemptions (and then, not even all variations). The minimum salary threshold <u>does not apply</u> to, for example, employees exempt from overtime only, outside salespersons, or certain learned-professional employees. It also does not require a traditional salary per se – just as the law does not now.

<u>Perspective</u>: If we've learned anything from the Overtime Rule 1.0 it is that this is a process. Do not run out and make changes tomorrow based on a *proposal*, but do start evaluating what 2020 might look like if this is what USDOL ultimately adopts.

<u>Experience</u>: Some employers conservatively made changes in anticipation of the \$913 per week threshold, so even if the Final Rule is higher than \$679 per week these employers are in good shape. Many other employers still have a leg up in that they undertook detailed analyses (including duties) of their exempt-classified employees in 2016 and likely already know where to focus this time around.

<u>Timeframe</u>: Overtime Rule 1.0 was a painfully long process for employers. While we hope there will be tweaks in the Final Rule (the proposal contains some of the <u>same flaws</u> as Overtime Rule 1.0), Overtime Rule 2.0 was written with the benefit of all the prior feedback and litigation. As a result, we can expect a quick turnaround, comparatively, from the government. What remains to be seen is what interested parties might do once there is a Final Rule, but that is a few months out.

#### The Bottom Line

While the proposed changes in Overtime Rule 2.0 are not as shocking, employers in certain locations or industries might contemplate submitting thoughtful, substantive <u>comments</u> still, and should coordinate with legal counsel before taking this path.

We will be assessing the situation and provide necessary updates, so you should ensure you are subscribed to <u>Fisher Phillips' alert system</u> to gather the most up-to-date information, and continue to follow our <u>Wage And Hour Blog</u> to see our latest commentary.,

## Service Focus

Wage and Hour