



The Harmful Effects of the Ambush Election Ruling

News

6.16.15

Steve Bernstein was quoted in *The Daily Caller* on June 16, 2015. The article “The Harmful Effects of the Ambush Election Ruling” examines the alarming increase in the number of elections to unionize workplaces, since the enactment of the National Labor Relations Board’s (NLRB) “ambush election” ruling.

Steve explained how these rules will entice unions to file petitions, even when they think their chances of winning are low.

Before the new ruling, Steve stated, unions “were unlikely to file [petitions for elections] without at least 60 or 70 percent interest, but now they may be more comfortable filing minority petitions,” banking on the increased speed of elections to help them blindside employers and employees. Employers are right to be concerned. In the first month alone, the median time for filling petitions for elections decreased 40 percent, from an average of 38 days to 23.

If employers are constantly on guard against the threat of an ambush election potentially restructuring their company, how can they focus on running a business, innovating, and creating jobs? We need to be focused on growing our economy, not putting up yet another obstacle for business owners and workers’ rights.

To read the full article, please visit [*The Daily Caller*](#).

Please reach out to our [Media team](#) for any news inquiries.

