Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

February 9, 2016

The Honorable Thomas E. Perez Secretary of Labor United States Department of Labor 200 Constitution Ave, NW Washington, D.C. 20210

Dear Secretary Perez,

We are writing today to express our serious concern over the Department of Labor's (DOL) proposed rule to revise the existing "overtime rule." As written, this one-size-fits-all rule would adversely impact all affected employers, especially small businesses. Instead of helping our nation's workers, this rule will ultimately hurt them.

In 2014, President Obama directed the DOL to examine the "white collar exemption" that is currently in place for executives, administrative, and professional employees in the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) regulations and propose revisions to the existing regulations. The FLSA, which was signed into law in 1938 by President Roosevelt during the Depression, establishes the minimum wage and overtime standards for most American workers. It included the white collar exemption from the minimum wage and overtime requirements because workers in these categories were often compensated with add-on benefits above and beyond normal wages. The white collar exemption ensured that the federal government did not force employers to inflate the salaries of certain employees who were already given fair compensation through non-wage payments. While the DOL's proposed rule is aimed at expanding overtime protections to help more workers, the proposed rule, as drafted, will instead hurt American workers and the businesses that employ them.

Currently, employers are required to pay overtime for all employees who make \$23,660 or less per year. The new rule, proposed by DOL's Wage and Hour Division, would raise the salary threshold and require employers to pay overtime for all employees who make \$50,440 or less per year. With the implementation of the rule, nearly 5 million employees would suddenly become eligible for overtime pay. This 113 percent increase in the salary threshold would place a large burden on business owners and their workers, and is a major departure from previous DOL policy.

Additionally, increasing the salary threshold by such a significant amount also disregards the geographic diversity of our country. As you know, the purchasing power of a dollar is drastically different in various parts of our country. Not only is the DOL ignoring regional realities, but they are also overlooking the differences that exist between rural and urban areas.

The belief that this rule change will increase millions of workers' paychecks is simply shortsighted. Unfortunately, a change of this magnitude is likely to have unintended

consequences regarding how an employer compensates its employees which would negatively affect workers. Many small businesses, which often operate on thin margins, yet still pay competitive salaries, provide great benefits, and positive workplace environments, simply cannot afford to increase their workers' salaries to the new salary threshold that has been proposed. Thus, to remain economically viable and keep the prices of their goods and services competitive, many businesses would be forced to take actions such as reducing workers hours or shifting salaried workers to hourly status, which many workers would consider a demotion and may also mean a reduction in benefits. As drafted, this rule is poised to have the most negative impact on those entering the workforce along with mid-level managers.

In addition, we are concerned the new rule does not clearly explain the DOL's plan regarding the future of the duties test—which is one of the main components used in determining whether a given employee is exempt from the provisions of the FLSA. As it stands, the proposed DOL rule is overly ambiguous about its plans for changing the duties test and indicates the DOL is considering the implementation of clearly flawed policies.

For instance, the DOL asks a series of questions in the proposed rule about the duties test. The Department asks whether a percentage-of-time rule should be applied to the exemptions' primary duties test. The DOL also specifically asks whether it would be appropriate to adopt California's 50% rule. A series of questions is not a viable substitute for a concrete regulatory proposal—the businesses affected by this rule need specific language they can consider and comment upon before any changes to the duties test are finalized.

Employers have already invested a significant amount of time and money understanding and applying the current duties test. Any changes would force businesses to expend even more time and money to adapt to the new rules. In addition, the vague language regarding the potential for changes to the duties test in the final rule is likely to cause confusion and increase the number of disputes over altered or new classifications. The impact, again, would hurt businesses and employees more than it would help them.

It is for these reasons we strongly believe this rule change would adversely impact all businesses, especially those categorized as small businesses. We urgently ask you to reconsider moving forward with this rule as drafted.

Sincerely,

Cresent Hardy

Member of Congress

Member of Congress

Ann Wagner

Member of Congress

homas Massie

Thomas Massie Member of Congress

Brian Babin Member of Congress

Rick Crawford Member of Congress

Bradley Byrne Member of Congress

im Tim Huelskamp

Member of Congress

ller fΜ

Member of Congress

1mf

Tom Emmer Member of Congress

Steve Chabot Member of Congress

Ryan

Member of Congress

Paul Gosar

Member of Congress

un

Dana Rohrabacher Member of Congress

Glenn Grothman Member of Congress

Morgan Griffith

Member of Congress

Mark Amodei Member of Congress

Ch St ent

Chris Stewart Member of Congress

Fred R. U

Brad Wenstrup Member of Congress

Bruce

Bruce Poliquin Member of Congress

Chris Collins Member of Congress

Austin Scott Member of Congress

Frank Guinta Member of Congress

Brad Ashford Member of Congress

Sam Johnson Member of Congress

Dave Brat Member of Congress

Doug LaMalfa

Member of Congress

Adrian Smith Member of Congress

Virginia Foxx Member of Congress

Dan Newhouse Member of Congress

Curt Clawson Member of Congress

lichard Hanna

Member of Congress

13in

French Hill Member of Congress

Steve King Member of Congress

Andy Barr

Member of Congress

Blaine Luetkemeyer

Member of Congress

ohn Ratel

John Ratcliffe Member of Congress

Joe Wilson

Member of Congress

Jeff Dunca

Member of Congress

Rob Bishop

Rob Bishop Member of Congress

nouca

Bill Huizenga Member of Congress

Richard Hudson Member of Congress

Kevin Cramer

Member of Congress

ouna David Rouzer

Member of Congress

Larry Bucshon, M.D.

Larry Breshon, M.D. Member of Congress

uter

Earl L 'Buddy' Carter Member of Congress

Todd Rokita Member of Congress

Rod Blum Member of Congress

Trent Kelly Member of Congress

50.05

Mick Mulvaney Member of Congress

mill

Dan Benishek M.D. Member of Congress

Walorsky. Ki

Jackie Walorski Member of Congress

Collin C. Peterson Member of Congress

Bob Goodlatte Member of Congress

Doug Collins Member

Member of Congress

Robert E. Latta Member of Congress

nesser

Luke Messer Member of Congress

Mike Bishop

Member of Congress

H Alton

Brett Guthrie Member of Congress

in Culberson

John Culberson Member of Congress

Bill Flores Member of Congress

11 mile

Mimi Walters Member of Congress

uch there

Bruce Westerman Member of Congress

Mike Kelly

Member of Congress

Steve Womack Member of Congress

Stivers

Member of Congress

itt Alm

Pete Olson Member of Congress

Trey Gowd

Member of Congress

OKOn

Ed Royce / Member of Congress

Duncan D. Hunter Member of Congress

Roger Williams Member of Congress

Ed Whitfield Member of Congress

an Charles Boustany, Jr., M

Member of Congress

Jour Lambion

Doug Lamborn Member of Congress

alnu

Matt Salmon Member of Congress

Aumua Amata Coleman Radewagen Member of Congress

D

Bill Shuster Member of Congress

Foure C

Louie Gohmert Member of Congress

W. Busks

Susan Brooks Member of Congress

arletta

Lou Barletta Member of Congress

Lynn Westmoreland Member of Congress

Dave Trott Member of Congress

h MBilinh

Gus Bilirakis Member of Congress

John Fleming, M.D.

Member of Congress

Ida

Jim Jordan Member of Congress

an Randy Hultgren Member of Congress

Darin LaHood Member of Congress

Jin Renacci Member of Congress

Charles W. Dent Member of Congress

Darrell Issa Member of Congress

mGTThan

Glenn 'GT' Thompson Member of Congress

Ken Calvert Member of Congress

Markwayne Mullin Member of Congress

Ufusarl b Hensarling Member of Congress

Scott Tipton

Member of Congress

Frank Lucas Member of Congress

ianks ent Franks

Member of Congress

Tom McClintock Member of Congress

Ted Yoho Member of Congress

k Joe Heck, D.O.

Member of Congress

Cynthia Lummis Member of Congress

Martha Roby

Martha Roby Member of Congress

Rob Woodall

Member of Congress

Carlos Curbelo Member of Congress

lice Jody Hice Member of Congress

ONP

Mia Love Member of Congress

anken

Lynn Jenkins Member of Congress

ten. Steve Russell

Member of Congress