

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JUSTIN HENRY, individually and on behalf of other persons
similarly situated who were employed by WARNER MUSIC
GROUP CORP,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

WARNER MUSIC GROUP CORP., and ATLANTIC
RECORDING CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Index No.:

Date Filed:

Plaintiff designates the County
of New York as the place of trial.

Venue is based on the place
where the work took place.

SUMMONS

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS:

You are hereby summoned to serve upon Plaintiff's attorneys an answer to the complaint
in this action within 30 days after service of this summons. In case of your failure to answer,
judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: June 17, 2013

Counsel for Plaintiff and Putative Class

s/
Lloyd R. Ambinder
Suzanne B. Leeds
Virginia & Ambinder, LLP
111 Broadway, Suite 1403
New York, New York 10006
Tel: (212) 943-9080
Fax: (212) 943-9082
lambinder@vandallp.com

Maurice Pianko, Esq.
55 Broad Street, Suite 13F
New York, New York 10004
Tel: (646) 801-9675
mpianko@gmail.com

LEEDS BROWN LAW, P.C.

Jeffrey K. Brown
One Old Country Road, Suite 347
Carle Place, New York 11514
Tel: (516) 873-9550
jbrown@leedsbrownlaw.com

TO:

Warner Music Group Corp.
75 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10019

Atlantic Recording Corporation
75 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10019

SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK COUNTY

JUSTIN HENRY, individually and on behalf of
other persons similarly situated who were employed
by WARNER MUSIC GROUP CORP,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

WARNER MUSIC GROUP CORP., and
ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Index No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

The Named Plaintiff, by his attorneys Virginia & Ambinder, LLP, Maurice S. Pianko, Esq., and Leeds Brown Law, P.C., alleges upon knowledge to himself and upon information and belief as to all other matters as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This action is brought pursuant to New York Labor Law Article 19 § 650 *et seq.*, New York Labor Law Article 6 §§ 190 *et seq.* (“NYLL”), 12 New York Codes, Rules and Regulations (“NYCRR”) § 142-2.2, to recover unpaid minimum wages and overtime wages owed to Plaintiff and all similarly situated persons who are presently or were formerly employed by WARNER MUSIC GROUP CORP. and ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION and/or any other entities affiliated with or controlled by WARNER MUSIC GROUP CORP. and ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION (hereinafter collectively as “Defendants”).

2. Beginning in approximately June 2007 and, upon information and belief, continuing through the present, Defendants have wrongfully withheld wages from Plaintiff and other similarly situated individuals who worked for Defendants.

3. Beginning in approximately June 2007 and, upon information and belief, continuing through the present, Defendants have wrongfully classified Plaintiff and others

similarly situated as exempt from minimum and overtime wages.

4. Beginning in approximately June 2007 and, upon information and belief, continuing through the present, Defendants have failed to provide compensation at the statutory minimum wage rate for all hours worked.

5. Beginning in approximately June 2007 and, upon information and belief, continuing through the present, Defendants have failed to provide overtime compensation to its employees for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours each week.

6. Plaintiff has initiated this action seeking for himself, and on behalf of all similarly situated employees, all compensation, including minimum wage and overtime compensation, which they were deprived of, plus interest, damages, attorneys' fees and costs.

THE PARTIES

7. The Named Plaintiff, Justin Henry, is an individual who is currently a resident of Brooklyn, New York.

8. Plaintiff was employed by Defendants from approximately October, 2007 through May, 2008.

9. Although the Defendants misclassified Plaintiff and other members of the putative class as unpaid interns, Plaintiff is a covered employee within the meaning of the NYLL.

10. Upon information and belief, Defendant Warner Music Group Corp. is a business corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and headquartered in the State of New York, with its principal place of business at 75 Rockefeller Plaza, New York New York 10019, and is engaged in the music recording and publishing business.

11. Upon information and belief, Defendant Atlantic Recording Corporation is a business corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and headquartered in the

State of New York, with its principal place of business at 75 Rockefeller Plaza, New York New York 10019, and is engaged in the music recording and publishing business.

12. Defendants uniformly apply the same employment policies, practices, and procedures to all interns who work at Defendants' locations in the State of New York.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

13. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 12 hereof.

14. This action is properly maintainable as a class action pursuant to Article 9 of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules.

15. This action is brought on behalf of the Plaintiff and a class consisting of each and every other person who worked for the Defendants as interns, and were thus misclassified as exempt from minimum wage and overtime requirements.

16. Plaintiff and putative class members are all victims of the Defendants' common policy and/or plan to violate New York wage and hour statutes by (1) failing to pay all earned wages; (2) misclassifying Plaintiff and members of the putative class as exempt from minimum wage and overtime compensation; (3) failing to provide minimum wages for work performed; and (4) failing to provide overtime wages, at a rate of one and one half times the regular rate of pay, for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in any given week.

17. The putative class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. The size of the putative class is believed to be in excess of 100 individuals. In addition, the names of all potential members of the putative class are not known.

18. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members. These questions of law and fact include, but are

not limited to: (1) whether Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and members of the putative class all earned wages; (2) whether the Defendants misclassified Plaintiff and members of the putative class as exempt from minimum and overtime wages; (3) whether the Defendants required Plaintiff and members of the putative class to perform work on its behalf and for its benefit for which they were not compensated; and (4) whether the Defendants failed to pay overtime wages, at the rate of one and one half times the regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in any given week in violation of New York state law.

19. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the putative class. The Plaintiff and putative class members were all subject to Defendants' policies and willful practices of failing to pay employees all earned minimum wages. Plaintiff and putative class members thus have sustained similar injuries as a result of the Defendants' actions.

20. The Plaintiff and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class. Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in complex wage and hour class action litigation.

21. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. The individual Named Plaintiff and putative class members lack the financial resources to adequately prosecute separate lawsuits against Defendants. Furthermore, the damages for each individual are small compared to the expense and burden of individual prosecution of this litigation. Finally, a class action will also prevent unduly duplicative litigation resulting from inconsistent judgments pertaining to the Defendants' policies.

22. Prosecuting and defending multiple actions would be impracticable.

23. Managing a class action will not result in undue difficulties.

FACTS

24. Upon information and belief, beginning in or around June 2007, the Defendants employed Plaintiff and putative class members to perform various office tasks, such as answering telephones, making photocopies, making deliveries, preparing coffee, getting lunch for paid employees, and other similar duties.

25. Defendants did not provide any compensation to Plaintiff and putative class members for the hours worked.

26. Defendants have benefitted from the work that Plaintiff and putative class members performed.

27. Defendants would have hired additional employees or required existing staff to work additional hours had Plaintiff and the putative class members not performed work for Defendant.

28. Defendants did not provide academic or vocational training to Plaintiff or putative class members.

29. Defendants' unlawful conduct has been pursuant to a corporate policy or practice of minimizing labor costs by denying Plaintiffs and the Putative Class Members' compensation in violation of the NYLL and its implementing regulations.

30. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as set forth in this Complaint, has been intentional, willful, and in bad faith, and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff and the putative class.

31. Upon information and belief, while working for Defendants, the Plaintiff and putative class members were regularly required to perform work for Defendants, without receiving minimum wages for all hours worked.

32. Upon information and belief, while working for Defendants, the Plaintiff and putative class members were regularly required to perform work for Defendants without receiving overtime compensation, although they routinely worked in excess of 40 hours each week.

33. Plaintiff Justin Henry was employed by Defendants from October 2007 through May 2008.

34. Throughout the course of his employment, Plaintiff typically worked five days each week. Plaintiff routinely worked from at least 10:00 a.m. until 5:00 or 6:00 p.m., but was sometimes required to stay later.

35. During Plaintiff's term of employment, his duties primarily consisted of answering the phones, faxing papers, filing papers, and retrieving lunch for paid employees.

36. Plaintiff sometimes worked more than 40 hours each week, yet did not receive overtime wages.

37. Plaintiff was not paid any wages, and thus was not compensated at a rate in compliance with the statutory minimum wage rate.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS:
NEW YORK MINIMUM WAGE COMPENSATION**

38. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 37 hereof.

39. Title 12 NYCRR § 137-1.2 states that, "[t]he basic minimum hourly rate shall be: (a) \$5.15 per hour on and after March 31, 2000; (b) \$6.00 per hour on and after January 1, 2005; (c) \$6.75 per hour on and after January 1, 2006; (d) \$7.15 per hour on and after January 1, 2007; (e) \$7.25 per hour on and after July 24, 2009; or, if greater, such other wage as may be established by Federal law pursuant to 29 U.S.C. section 206 or any successor provisions."

40. New York Labor Law § 663, provides that, “[i]f any employee is paid by his employer less than the wage to which he is entitled under the provisions of this article, he may recover in a civil action the amount of any such underpayments, together with costs and such reasonable attorney’s fees.”

41. Pursuant to Labor Law § 651, the term “employee” means “any individual employed or permitted to work by an employer in any occupation.”

42. As persons employed for hire by Defendants, Plaintiff and members of the putative class are “employees,” as understood in Labor Law § 651.

43. Pursuant to Labor Law § 651, the term “employer” includes any “any individual, partnership, association, corporation, limited liability company, business trust, legal representative, or any organized group of persons acting as employer.”

44. Pursuant to New York Labor Law §§ 190, *et seq.*, 650, *et seq.*, and the cases interpreting same, Warner Music Group Corp. is an “employer.”

45. Pursuant to New York Labor Law §§ 190, *et seq.*, 650, *et seq.*, and the cases interpreting same, Atlantic Recording Corporation is an “employer.”

46. The minimum wage provisions of Article 19 of the NYLL and the supporting New York State Department of Labor regulations apply to Defendant and protect Plaintiff and members of the putative class.

47. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff and other members of the putative class minimum wages for all hours works, in violation of Title 12 NYCRR § 137-1.2 and Labor Law § 663.

48. Upon information and belief, Defendants’ failure to pay Plaintiff and putative class members minimum wages was willful.

49. By the foregoing reasons, Defendants have violated Title 12 NYCRR § 137-1.2 and Labor Law § 663, and are liable to Plaintiff and members of the putative class in an amount to be determined at trial, interest, and attorneys' fees and costs.

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS:
NEW YORK OVERTIME COMPENSATION**

50. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 49 hereof.

51. Warner Music Group, Corp. and Atlantic Recording Corporation are employers, within the meaning contemplated by New York Labor Law Article 19 § 651(6) and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

52. Plaintiff and members of the putative class are employees, within the meaning contemplated, pursuant to New York Labor Law Article 19 § 651(5) and the supporting New York State Department of Labor Regulations.

53. 2 NYCRR §142-2.2 requires that "[a]n employer shall pay an employee for overtime at a wage rate of one and one-half times the employee's regular rate...."

54. New York Labor Law Article 19 § 663, provides that "[i]f any employee is paid by his employer less than the wage to which he is entitled under the provisions of this article, he may recover in a civil action the amount of any such underpayments, together with costs and such reasonable attorney's fees."

55. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff and members of the putative class worked more than forty hours a week while working for Defendants.

56. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff and members of the putative class did not receive overtime compensation for all hours worked in excess of forty hours in any given week.

57. Consequently, by failing to pay to Plaintiff and members of the putative class

overtime compensation, Defendants violated New York Labor Law Article 19 § 663 and 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.

58. Upon information and belief, Defendants' failure to pay overtime compensation to Plaintiff and members of the putative class was willful.

59. By the foregoing reasons, Defendants have violated New York Labor Law Article 19 § 663 and 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2 and are liable to Plaintiff and members of the putative class in an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest, attorneys' fees, and costs.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANTS:
FAILURE TO PAY WAGES**

60. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 59 hereof.

61. Pursuant to Article Six of the New York Labor Law, workers, such as Plaintiff and members of the putative class, are protected from wage underpayments and improper employment practices.

62. Pursuant to New York Labor Law § 652, "Every employer shall pay to each of its employees for each hour worked a wage of not less than... \$5.15 on and after March 31, 2000, \$6.00 on and after January 1, 2005, \$6.75 on and after January 1, 2006, \$7.15 on and after January 1, 2007."

63. Pursuant to Labor Law § 190, the term "employee" means "any person employed for hire by an employer in any employment."

64. As persons employed for hire by Defendants, Plaintiff is an "employee," as understood in Labor Law § 190.

65. Pursuant to Labor Law § 190, the term "employer" includes any "person, corporation, limited liability company, or association employing any individual in any

occupation, industry, trade, business or service.”

66. As entities that hired the Plaintiff, Warner Music Group Corp. and Atlantic Recording Corporation are “employers.”

67. Plaintiff’s agreed upon wage rate and/or minimum wage rate and/or overtime compensation rate was within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§ 190, 191, and 652.

68. Pursuant to Labor Law § 191 and the cases interpreting same, workers such as the Plaintiff and members of the putative class are entitled to be paid all their weekly wages “not later than seven calendar days after the end of the week in which the wages are earned.”

69. In failing to pay the Plaintiff and members of the putative class minimum wages and overtime payments for time worked after forty hours in one week, Defendants violated Labor Law § 191.

70. Pursuant to Labor Law § 193, “No employer shall make any deduction from the wages of an employee,” such as the Plaintiff and members of the putative class, that is not otherwise authorized by law or by the employee.

71. By withholding minimum wages, and overtime compensation from Plaintiff and members of the putative class, pursuant to New York Labor law § 193 and the cases interpreting same, Defendants made unlawful deductions.

72. Upon information and belief, Defendants’ failure to pay Plaintiff and members of the putative class minimum wages and overtime compensation was willful.

73. By the foregoing reasons, Defendants have violated New York Labor Law § 198 and are liable to Plaintiff and members of the putative class in an amount to be determined at trial, plus interest, attorneys’ fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly

