



Your Most Important Employment Documents

Insights

1.02.15

Employment litigation can be expensive and time consuming. Success or failure in defending your company can turn on either the law or the facts. You can't do too much to change the law that applies to any given case. But experience shows that employers can do a lot to shape the facts and to improve their position in employment litigation.

Obviously, "shaping the facts" doesn't mean changing anything that happened; it refers to making sure that the events that occurred can be articulated accurately and forcefully. Most of the time, this shaping of the facts depends on the documentation. And while the particular facts may be different from case to case, the same types of documents are at issue in almost every employment law case.

What Affects A Case The Most?

The documents likely to have the most impact on an employer's case are:

- applications for employment;
- employee handbooks;
- job descriptions;
- performance evaluations;
- disciplinary warnings; and
- responses to administrative claims.

Sure, other documents may be relevant to particular cases, and the relevance and importance of individual documents may vary, but these six are almost always included among documents requested and produced in employment litigation.

Just as you try to anticipate crises and develop contingency plans in advance, you should also anticipate that these six documents will be relevant to future employment-law claims. As the saying goes: "forewarned is forearmed." Take action to make sure that these documents always put your company in the best position to defend itself.

Getting into that best position begins with creating forms that are in compliance with applicable employment laws. For example, in almost every instance, the forms for these critical documents should include some indication that the company is an equal opportunity employer. They should

contain appropriate disclaimers and not ask questions or make statements that indicate an unlawful, discriminatory bias or which may violate applicable laws.

Laws and legal interpretations are constantly changing. You should periodically review your form documents to make sure they reflect compliance at all times with the then-current laws. An annual review is advisable, but more frequent review may be necessary if new laws or interpretations emerge. Fisher Phillips' Legal Alerts are a good way to keep up with new developments.

In completing inquiries about these form documents, make sure that the responses indicate compliance with all applicable laws and do not show a violation of civil rights or other laws. Statements on the forms need to be complete, truthful, credible, understandable and legible. It's amazing how often critical documents are not legible or understandable! Make sure that these forms are provided and completed consistently for each employee. Finally, retain these critical documents in accordance with applicable record-retention laws and policies.

In addition to paying attention to how each document is prepared, you need to have a broad perspective and understanding about how a particular document compares to other documents with respect to the same employee. For example, if a performance evaluation says an employee is consistently exceeding expectations but a disciplinary warning refers to the employee's consistently unsatisfactory performance, the company will not look credible and will have some explaining to do.

Similarly, you need to have a broad perspective and understanding about how a particular document compares to other documents prepared with respect to other employees. For example, if one employee is disciplined for a particular act of misconduct in a particular way, you need to ensure that your documents indicate that other employees who committed the same act of misconduct were disciplined in the same manner. Inconsistent treatment of individuals in different protected classes is one of the most damaging pieces of evidence in employment litigation.

The Bottom Line

Employers can go a long way to preventing or at least minimizing their exposure to employment law claims by using and creating documents that manifest compliance with all applicable labor and employment laws. Attention to just a few of the most critical documents used in the employment relationship will go a long way toward helping employers achieve this goal.

For more information, contact the author at DABrannen@fisherphillips.com or 404.231.1400.

Related People





D. Albert Brannen

Partner

404.240.4235

Email